

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,325	MATSUMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1794	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Alicia Chevalier.

(3) _____.

(2) Tim Evans.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called to suggest changes to the claims to put them in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes in the the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)